

**Republican Perspective**  
**17 January 2018**  
by Ed Manning

**JUDICIAL TYRANNY**

*“We may have lured judges into roaming at large in the constitutional field.”*  
*Warren E. Burger - 15th Chief Justice of Supreme Court*

Judicial overreach continued unabated in 2017. *Conservative Review* points out that our national sovereignty was stolen by federal courts. District judges decided they had the authority to nullify the powers of the commander-in-chief. They ruled as kings and applied their edicts nationwide. 2017 was the year that lower court judges were crowned supreme over all other branches of government.

Here are some of the radical court decisions:

- Right to immigrate: A number of extremist district judges, along with the Ninth and Fourth Circuits, created a First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment right to immigrate to the U.S. They took the jurisprudence for protected classes of Americans and applied it to the 7.6 billion people of the world living outside our borders.

These decisions nullified 200 years of settled case law, the Constitution, and numerous statutes

giving the president authority on immigration and to set refugee caps. District judges now

are experts on foreign policy and national security. Courts can now nullify diplomatic and strategic foreign policies if they place a “stigma” on a particular class of people.

- Amnesty: Courts in California and New York are willing to mandate the continuation of the unconstitutional DACA program. In fact, a California federal judge just ordered the Trump administration to maintain DACA.
- Sanctuary Cities; Immigration enforcement is lawless: Liberal judges from Chicago, San Francisco and Philadelphia ruled that sanctuary cities are really following the law and the federal government is not. They blocked the federal government from enforcing immigration laws on the states. A Texas judge even prevented the state from enforcing immigration law on cities within its borders. Mexico was permitted to file a brief against Texas for upholding federal immigration laws. Here in California, citizens can't get standing to file suit against jurisdictions that violate federal law by paying for endless services and free tuition for illegal aliens.

- Deportation of violent criminals: A Michigan judge halted the deportation of 1,400 Iraqi immigrants who had been convicted of criminal offenses, including some with convictions for rape and murder. What about the law? The judge said he feared what would happen to them if they were deported. Wonder if their rape victims live in fear? Who speaks for the murder victims?
- Castration surgeries in the military: Indeed, the courts have taken over the president's job as commander-in-chief. According to federal judges in D.C., Baltimore, and Seattle, there is a constitutional right to serve in the military. Further, there is a right for individuals to cut off their private parts to serve in the military. Oh, and according to Judge Marvin Garbis of Baltimore, the Pentagon must pay for the "surgeries."
- Abortion chain migration: The federal district and circuit courts in D.C. created a right for teenage girls who can't obtain elective abortions in Mexico to come here illegally and demand that the government drive them directly to abortion clinics. Welcome to abortion chain migration. Foreign nationals now have the right to come here and either demand citizenship for their babies against the will of the nation or demand that we abort them.
- World War I memorial unconstitutional: American Christians can't honor their war dead with symbols that have been a part of the country's landscape since the Founding. In Bladensburg, Maryland, a memorial for 49 local veterans who died in WWI has been standing for **91** years without bothering anyone, until the Fourth Circuit deemed it unconstitutional!
- No prayers: The Fourth Circuit barred a North Carolina county government from opening sessions with a prayer, similar to what our federal Congress does every day. The Fourth Circuit rejected the U.S. Supreme Court's precedent, which dictates that traditional prayers are permissible so long as non-adherents aren't coerced. The Fourth Circuit ruled that the prayer made non-religious attendees feel like "outsiders." We must insure safe spaces for all!

These decisions are just a sampling of rulings against our sovereignty. Wholesale judicial reform is greatly needed. Until then, progressive precedents will multiply.